

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION (FOR RESTORATION) NO. 1 of 2022
In R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 22281 of 2017**

=====

KESHAVLAL KAMABHAI PATEL

Versus

SECOND ADDITIONAL

=====

Appearance:

MR DIPAL R RAVAIYA(6532) for the PETITIONER(s) No.

MR. KULDEEP D VAIDYA(7045) for the PETITIONER(s) No.

MR KM ANTANI, AGP for the RESPONDENT(s) No.

MR DEEP D VYAS(3869) for the RESPONDENT(s) No.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. JUSTICE
ARAVIND KUMAR**

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH J. SHASTRI**Date : 28/03/2022****IA ORDER****(PER : HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. JUSTICE ARAVIND
KUMAR)**

1. Heard. Accepting reasons assigned in Misc. Civil Application No.1 of 2022 and for the reasons stated therein, order dated 8.3.2022 is recalled and Special Civil Application is restored to file for being heard on merits. Misc. Civil Application No.1 of 2022 stands allowed.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that Mr. Dipal R. Ravaiya has been shown as counsel appearing for petitioner inadvertently and he has not filed

Vakalatnama. On the other hand, it is stated that Mr. Samit S. has entered appearance along with Mr. Kuldeep D. Vaidya. He has asked for his name being printed in the cause list.

3. By our order dated 4.2.2022 passed in Writ Petition (PIL) No.9 of 2022, we had directed that whenever Vakalatnama is filed jointly or for and on behalf of a firm, Registry should show the first name reflected in the Vakalatnama. It was also ordered that Registry should not print multiple names of learned advocates as reflected in the Vakalatnama, if they are appearing for the same parties. Pursuant to the same, a circular dated 10.2.2022 bearing No.Judicial/08/2022 came to be issued by the Registry. Learned members of the Bar have also expressed certain difficulties in this regard and have prayed that atleast two names being printed in the cause list. In view of the same and present advocate having filed Vakalatnama with Mr. Kuldeep D. Vaidya, we direct the Registry to print the names of first two advocates as reflected in the Vakalatnama in the cause list until further orders to avoid any inconvenience being caused to learned members of the Bar. Registrar(Judicial) to take steps in this regard by issuing necessary circular. However, mere reflection of these names shall not empower or

entitle the learned advocates to seek for any benefits flowing from their names being reflected either in the cause list or in the judgment unless they have appeared and conducted the case or the matter.

4. Relist on 5.5.2022.

(ARAVIND KUMAR,CJ)

RADHAKRISHNAN K.V.

(ASHUTOSH J. SHASTRI, J)